arXiv:0812.2703v2 [math.DG] 14 Oct 2009

ON THE CONDITIONS TO CONTROL CURVATURE TENSORS OF RICCI FLOW

LI MA AND LIANG CHENG

Abstract. An important problem in the study of Ricci flow is that what are the weakest conditions that provide control of the norm of the full Riemannian curvature tensor? In this paper, supposing $(M^n, g(t))$ is a solution to the Ricci flow on a Riemmannian manifold on time interval $[0, T)$, we show that $L^{\frac{n+2}{2}}$ norm bound of scalar curvature and Weyl tensor can control the norm of the full Riemannian curvature tensor if M is closed and $T < \infty$. Next we prove, without condition $T < \infty$, that C^0 bound of scalar curvature and Weyl tensor can control the norm of the full Riemannian curvature tensor on complete manifolds. Finally, we show that to the Ricci flow with bounded curvature on a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold with the Ricci curvature tensor uniformly bounded by some constant C on $M^n \times [0, T)$, the curvature tensor stays uniformly bounded on $M^n \times [0, T)$. Some other results are also presented.

1. Introduction

One of important question in the research of Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2R_{ij}, \tag{1.1}$$

is that what are the weakest conditions to control of the norm of the Riemannian curvature tensor? There are many results about this issue. In [11], by a blow up argument, N.Sesum showed that Ricci curvature uniformly bounded on $M \times [0, T)$, where $T < \infty$, is enough to control the norm of Riemannian curvature tensor on closed manifolds. Then R.Ye ([19] and [20]) and B.Wang [18], by different arguments, proved that an integral bound $||Rm||_{\frac{n+2}{2}} = (\int_0^T \int_M |Rm|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ can control the norm of hole curvature tensor on closed manifolds, where Rm is the Riemannian curvature tensor and $T < \infty$. Moreover, B.Wang [17] proved that if the Ricci tensor is uniformly bounded from below on $[0, T)$ where $T < \infty$, and $||R||_\alpha = (\int_0^T \int_M |R|^\alpha d\mu dt)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \alpha \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$, then the norm of Riemannian curvature tensor is bounded.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K15, 35K55, 53A04.

Key words and phrases. Ricci flow; blow up; bounded curvature tensors.

The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10631020 and SRFDP 20060003002.

Recall that in any dimension $n \geq 3$, the Riemannian curvature tensor admits an orthogonal decomposition

$$Rm = -\frac{R}{2(n-1)(n-2)} g \odot g + \frac{1}{n-2} Rc \odot g + W,$$

where W is the Weyl tensor and $\odot$ denotes the Kulkarni-Nomizu product. Now we denote $|Rm| = (g^{i_1 j_1} g^{i_2 j_2} g^{i_3 g_3} g^{i_4 j_4} Rm_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} Rm_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|W| = (g^{i_1 j_1} g^{i_2 j_2} g^{i_3 g_3} g^{i_4 j_4} W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} W_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the norm of the Riemannian curvature tensor and Weyl tensor.

Our first theorem shows that $||R||_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$ and $||W||_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$ instead of $||Rm||_{\frac{n+2}{2}}$ are enough to control the norm Riemmannian curvature tensor.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T)$, where $T < \infty$, be a solution to the Ricci flow (1.1) on a closed manifold satisfying*

$$||R||_{\frac{n+2}{2}} = (\int_0^T \int_M |R|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} < \infty$$

and

$$||W||_{\frac{n+2}{2}} = (\int_0^T \int_M |W|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt)^{\frac{2}{n+2}} < \infty.$$

Then $\sup_{M \times [0,T)} |Rm| < \infty$.

Note that most of results about this issue should need the conditions that M^n is closed and $T < \infty$. It is because that there is no corresponding Perelman's non-local collapsing theorem for infinite time singularities or non-compact manifolds without injectivity radius bound conditions. Fortunately, to overcome this, we can use another simple precompactness theorem (see lemma 2.2) instead of using Perelman's non-local collapsing theorem. Then we have following theorem without assumptions M^n is closed and $T < \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T)$, where T may be infinite, be a solution to the Ricci flow (1.1) on a complete manifold satisfying* $\sup_{M \times [0,T)} |R| < \infty$ *and* $\sup_{M \times [0,T)} |W| < \infty$, *then* $\sup_{M \times [0,T)} |Rm| < \infty$.

Next we consider the kähler Ricci flow,

$$\frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial t} = g_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}}, \tag{1.2}$$

on a closed manifold M with $c_1(M) > 0$. H.D.Cao proved in [1] that (1.2) has a solution for all time. One of the most important questions regarding the Kähler Ricci flow (1.2) is whether it develops singularities at infinity, that is whether the curvature of $g(t)$ blows up as $t \to \infty$. Then Perelman (see [12]) made a surprising result that the diameter and scalar curvature of g(t) does not blow up as $t \to \infty$ for (1.2). A interesting application to theorem 1.2 is following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $(M^n, g(t))$ be a solution to the Kähler Ricci flow (1.2) on a closed kähler manifold with $c_1(X) > 0$. Then $\sup_{M\times[0,+\infty)} |Rm| < \infty$ if and only if $\sup_{M\times[0,+\infty)} |W| < \infty$.*

Finally, we can extend the result of N.Sesum [11] about compact Ricci flow to complete non-compact case using the similar method of theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(M^n, g(t))$, $t \in [0, T)$, where $T < \infty$, be a maximal solution to the Ricci flow (1.1) on a complete manifold $(M^n, g(0))$ satisfying $\sup_{M\times[0,T)} |Ric| < \infty$ with $(M^n, g(0))$ being a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with bounded curvature, then $\sup_{M\times[0,T)} |Rm| < \infty$.*

We remark that the Ricci flat complete and non-compact manifold is not in the class in Theorem 1.4.

2. PRELIMINARY

To study the singularities of Ricci flow, R.Hamilton [9] proposed the singularity models which dilate about a singularity based on the rate of blow up of the curvature. Note that the curvature bound is immediately satisfied for the blow up about a singularity, but the injectivity radius bound is not. For finite time singularities on closed manifolds, Perelman's nonlocal collapsing theorem provides the injectivity radius estimate necessary to obtain a noncollapsing limit. But it is no corresponding results for infinite time singularities or non-compact manifolds without injectivity radius bound conditions. In order to handle this problem, one can use the method, first proposed by K.Fukaya [6] in metric geometry and D.Glickenstein [5] generalized Fukaya's result to Ricci flow, which gives a kind of precompactness theorem of Ricci flow without injectivity radius estimates. This method has been used by many people, one can see [2] and [18] for examples. In fact, we just need a trick which is used in the proofs in [6] and [5]. We write them down below for the convenience of readers and one can see more general results in [6] and [5].

First we need a lemma which is elementary in Riemmanian geometry.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold with $|sec| \leq 1$, $p \in M^n$ and the exponential map $exp_p : T_pM^n \to M^n$ such that $B(o, \pi) \subset T_pM^n$ equipped with metric $exp_p^* g$. Then the injectivity radius at o in $B(o, \pi)$ has $inj(o) > \frac{\pi}{2}$.*

Proof. By Rauch comparison theorem, the conjugate radius at o is not less than π. Then we can pull back the metric of M^n to $B(o, \pi)$ with exponential map exp_p. By the definition of exponential map, me know that exp_p map any straight line at o to the geodesic emanating from p. Since $B(o, \pi)$ equipped with metric $exp_p^* g$, the straight line at o must be the geodesic with respect to

the metric $exp_p^* g$ in $B(o,\pi)$. Hence we have any geodesic at 0 is straight line and never goes back in $B(o,\pi)$. Then the lemma follows from Klingerberg's injectivity estimate immediately. □

A simple application of lemma 2.1 is following precompactness theorem for Ricci flow.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{(M_i^n, g_i(t), x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $t \in [0,T]$, be a sequence of Ricci flow on complete manifolds such that $\sup_{M_i\times[0,T]} |Rm(g_i(t))|g_i(t) \leq 1$. Let $\phi_i = exp_{x_i,g_i(0)}$ be the exponential map with respect to metric $g_i(0)$ and $B(o_i,\frac{\pi}{2}) \subset T_{x_i}M_i$ equipped with metric $\widetilde{g}_i(t) \triangleq \phi_i^* g(t)$. Then $(B(o_i,\frac{\pi}{2}),\widetilde{g}_i(t),o_i)$ subconverges to a Ricci flow $(B(o,\frac{\pi}{2}),\widetilde{g}(t),o)$ in C^∞ sense, where $B(o,\frac{\pi}{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with metric $\widetilde{g}(t)$.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{g}_i(t) \triangleq \phi_i^* g(t)$, we have $\sup_{B(o_i,\frac{\pi}{2})\times[0,T]} |Rm(\widetilde{g}_i(t))|\widetilde{g}_i(t) \leq 1$. By lemma 2.1, we have $inj(o_i,\widetilde{g}_i(0)) > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then the lemma follows from the local version of Hamilton's precompactness theorem for the Ricci flow (see [3], p236). □

Finally, we need a lemma to prove theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $(M,g(t))$ is the solution to the Ricci flow for $t \in [0,T)$, where $T < \infty$, such that the Ricci curvature is uniformly bounded by some constant C. We have for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $\delta(\epsilon,C) > 0$ such that if $t \in [t_0, t_0+\delta]$ then*

$$Vol_{g(t)}(B_{g(t)}(x,r)) \geq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} Vol_{g(t_0)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x,\frac{r}{1+\epsilon})). \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in M$, where $Vol_{g(t)}(B_{g(t)}(x,r))$ is the volume of ball centered at point x with respect to metric $g(t)$.

Proof. Since the Ricci curvature is uniformly bounded, it is not hard to show (see lemma 17 in [5]) that all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $\delta(\epsilon,C) > 0$ such that if $|t-t_0| < \delta$ then

$$-\epsilon g(t_0) \leq g(t) - g(t_0) \leq \epsilon g(t_0),$$

and

$$|d_{g(t)}(x,y) - d_{g(t_0)}(x,y)| \leq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{g(t_0)}(x,y),$$

for all $x,y \in M$. For any $x \in M^n$, $r > 0$, and $t \in [t_0, t_0+\delta]$, we have

$$B_{g(t_0)}(x,\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}) \subset B_{g(t)}(x,r),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x,\frac{r}{1+\epsilon})) \geq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_0)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x,\frac{r}{1+\epsilon})).$$

Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{g(t)}(B_{g(t)}(x,r)) \geq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{Vol}_{g(t_0)}(B_{g(t_0)}(x,\frac{r}{1+\epsilon})).$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1-1.4

In this section, we give the proofs to theorem 1.1-1.4 and corollary 1.3. First, we use a simple blow up argument to prove theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We argue by contradiction. Assume that the curvature blows up at a finite time T under the assumptions of the theorem. Then there is a sequence (x_i, t_i) with $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_i = T$ and $Q_i \to \infty$, where $Q_i \triangleq |Rm|(x_i, t_i) = \max_{(x,t)\in M\times[0,t_i]} |Rm|(x,t)$. We define the pointed rescaled solutions $(M^n, g_i(t), x_i)$, $t \in [-t_iQ_i, 0]$, by $g_i(t) = Q_i g(t_i + Q_i^{-1}t)$. Then we have a sequence of Ricci flow $\{(M^n, g_i(t), x_i), -1 \le t \le 0\}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{M^n\times(\infty,0]} |Rm_i|_{g_i} \le 1$$

and

$$|Rm_i(x_i, 0)|_{g_i} = 1,$$

where Rm_i is the curvature tensor with respect to g_i. By Perelman's non-local collapsing theorem, we have uniform lower bound of injectivity radius at points (x_i, t_i) for the sequence $\{(M^n, g_i(t), x_i), -1 \le t \le 0\}$. So this sequence subconverges to an ancient Ricci flow solution $(\overline{M}, \overline{x}, \overline{g}(t))$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{B_{\overline{g}(0)}(\overline{x},1)} |\overline{R}|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt &\le \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{B_{g_i(0)}(x_i,1)} |R_i|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{t_i-(Q_i)^{-1}}^{t_i}\int_{B_{g(t_i)}(x_i,Q_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})} |R|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&\le \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{t_i-(Q_i)^{-1}}^{t_i}\int_{M} |R|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds, since $\int_0^T \int_M |R|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt < \infty$. Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{B_{\overline{g}(0)}(\overline{x},1)} |\overline{W}|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt &\le \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{B_{g_i(0)}(x_i,1)} |W_i|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{t_i-(Q_i)^{-1}}^{t_i}\int_{B_{g(t_i)}(x_i,Q_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})} |W|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&\le \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{t_i-(Q_i)^{-1}}^{t_i}\int_{M} |W|^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d\mu dt \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}(t))$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold, we have $\overline{R}(x,t) \equiv 0, \overline{W}(x,t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in [-1, 0]$ in $B_{\overline{g}(0)}(\overline{x}, 1)$. By the scalar curvature evolution equation $\frac{\partial \overline{R}}{\partial t} = \Delta\overline{R} + 2|\overline{Ric}|^2$, we have $\overline{Ric}(x,t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in [-1, 0]$ in $B_{\overline{g}(0)}(\overline{x}, 1)$. Then $\overline{Rm} \equiv 0$ in $B_{\overline{g}(0)}(\overline{x}, 1)$. So we get a contradiction to $|\overline{Rm}|(\overline{x}, 0) = 1$. □

Next we prove theorem 1.2 by using blow up arguments and lemma 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is by contradiction. Then there exist sequences $t_i \to T$ and $x_i \in M$, such that

$$Q_i \doteq |Rm|(x_i, t_i) \geq C^{-1} \sup_{M\times[0,t_i]} |Rm|(x,t) \to \infty,$$

as $i \to \infty$, where C is some constant bigger than 1. We define the pointed rescaled solutions $(M^n, g_i(t), x_i)$, $t \in [-t_iQ_i, 0]$, by $g_i(t) = Q_i g(t_i + Q_i^{-1}t)$. Then we have

$$\sup_{M\times(-t_iQ_i,0]} |Rm_i|_{g_i}(x,t) \leq C$$

and

$$|Rm_i(x_i, 0)|_{g_i} = 1,$$

where Rm_i is the curvature tensor with respect to g_i. Let $\phi_i = exp_{x_i,g_i(0)}$ be the exponential map with respect to metric $g_i(0)$ and $B(o_i, \frac{\pi}{2}) \subset T_{x_i}M_i$ equipped with metric $\widetilde{g}_i(t) \triangleq \phi_i^* g_i(t)$. So we also have

$$\sup_{B(o_i,\frac{\pi}{2})\times(-t_iQ_i,0]} |\widetilde{Rm_i}|_{\widetilde{g}_i}(x,t) \leq C$$

and

$$|\widetilde{Rm_i}(o_i, 0)|_{\widetilde{g}_i} = 1,$$

where $\widetilde{Rm_i}$ is the curvature tensor with respect to $\widetilde{g}_i$. Then $(B(o_i, \frac{\pi}{2}), \widetilde{g}_i(t), o_i)$ subconverges to a Ricci flow $(B(o, \frac{\pi}{2}), \widetilde{g}(t), o)$ in C^∞ sense by lemma 2.2. Since we have that $|R(t)| \leq C$, where $R(t)$ is a scalar curvature with respect to $g(t)$. Then $\widetilde{R_i} = \frac{R}{Q_i}$ for all $t \in (-t_iQ_i, 0]$, we get that $\widetilde{R_i}(t) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, i.e. $\widetilde{R}(t) = 0$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, where $\widetilde{R}(t)$ is the scalar curvature with respect to metric $\widetilde{g}(t)$. By the evolution equation for the scalar curvature $\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{R} = \Delta\widetilde{R} + 2|\widetilde{Ric}|^2$, we have $\widetilde{Ric}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, i.e. our solution $\widetilde{g}$ is stationary. Likewise, $|\widetilde{W_i}| = \frac{|W|}{Q_i}$ for all $t \in (-t_iQ_i, 0]$, we get that $|\widetilde{W_i}(t)| \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, i.e. $\widetilde{W}(t) = 0$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. Then we have $\widetilde{Rm} \equiv 0$, which contradict to $|\widetilde{Rm}(o, 0)| = 1$. □

To prove corollary 1.3, we need following theorem due to G.Perelman.

Theorem 3.1. [12] *Let $(M^n, g(t))$ be a solution to the kähler Ricci flow $\frac{\partial g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial t} = g_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}}$ on a compact kähler manifold with $c_1(X) > 0$. There exists a uniformly constant such that $|R(g(t))| \leq C$, $diam(M, g(t)) \leq C$ and $|u|_{C^1} \leq C$, where u is the Ricci potential satisfying $g_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i\partial_{\bar{j}}u$.*

Now we can prove corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary1.3. Corollary 1.3 follows from theorem 3.1 and the same arguments of the proofs in theorem 1.2. Note that the evolution equation for the scalar curvature $\frac{d}{dt}R = \Delta R + |Ric|^2 - R$ under the kähler Ricci flow (1.2). So $R \equiv 0$ still implies $Ric \equiv 0$. □

Finally, we use the similar methods in N.Sesum [11] and lemma 2.2 to prove theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We argue by contradiction. Assume that the curvature blows up at a finite time T under the assumptions of the theorem. Then there exist sequences $t_i \to T$ and $x_i \in M$, such that

$$Q_i \triangleq |Rm|(x_i, t_i) \geq C^{-1} \sup_{M\times[0,t_i]} |Rm|(x,t) \to \infty,$$

as $i \to \infty$, where C is some constant bigger than 1. We define the pointed rescaled solutions $(M^n, g_i(t), x_i)$, $t \in [-t_iQ_i, 0]$, by $g_i(t) = Q_i g(t_i + Q_i^{-1}t)$. Then we have $\sup_{M\times(-t_iQ_i,0]} |Rm|_{g_i}(x,t) \leq C$ and $|Rm_{g_i}(x_i, 0)| = 1$. Let $\phi_i = exp_{x_i,g_i(0)}$ be the exponential map with respect to metric $g_i(0)$ and $B(o_i, \frac{\pi}{2}) \subset T_{x_i}M_i$ equipped with metric $\widetilde{g}_i(t) \triangleq \phi_i^* g_i(t)$. Then $(B(o_i, \frac{\pi}{2}), \widetilde{g}_i(t), o_i)$ subconverges to a Ricci flow $(B(o, \frac{\pi}{2}), \widetilde{g}(t), o)$ in C^∞ sense by lemma 2.2. Since the Ricci curvatures of our original flow are uniformly bounded, we have that $|R(t)| \leq C$, where $R(t)$ is a scalar curvature with respect to $g(t)$. Since $R_i = \frac{R}{Q_i}$ for all $t \in (-t_iQ_i, 0]$, we get that $R_i(t) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, i.e. $\widetilde{R}(t) = 0$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. By the evolution equation for a scalar curvature $\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{R} = \Delta\widetilde{R} + 2|\widetilde{Ric}|^2$, we have $\widetilde{Ric}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, i.e. our solution $\bar{g}$ is stationary, where $\widetilde{R}(t)$ is the scalar curvature with respect to metric $\widetilde{g}(t)$. Therefore it can be extended for all times $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ to an eternal solution. Take sufficient small $r > 0$ such that $B(o, r) \subset B(o, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Since $\widetilde{g}$ is the C^∞ limit of $\phi_i^* g_i$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}B(o,r)}{r^n} = \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{\phi_i^* g_i(0)} B_{\phi_i^* g_i(0)}(o_i, r)}{r^n} = \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{\phi_i^* g(t_i)} B_{\phi_i^* g(t_i)}(o_i, rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{(rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n},$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}B(o,r)$ is the volume of ball $B(o,r)$ on $B(o, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with respect to metric $\widetilde{g}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta(\epsilon, C)$ be the constant defined in lemma 2.3. Since $t_i \to T$ and T is finite, there exists sufficient large i_0 such that $|t_i - t_{i_0}| < \delta(\epsilon, C)$ and

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}B(o,r)}{r^n} \geq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{\phi_i^* g(t_i)} B_{\phi_i^* g(t_i)}(o_i, rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{(rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n} - \epsilon,$$

when $i > i_0$. Hence by lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{VolB(o,r)}{r^n} \geq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{\phi_{i_0}^* g(t_{i_0})} B_{\phi_{i_0}^* g(t_{i_0})}(o_{i_0}, \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{(rQ_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n} - \epsilon.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Vol}B(p,r)$ has the expansion within the injectivity radius of p (see [7] theorem 3.98),

$$\mathrm{Vol}B(p,r) = \omega_n r^n (1 - \frac{R(p)}{6(n+2)} r^2 + o(r^2)),$$

for $B(p,r)$ contained in any complete manifold M. Since curvature is bounded at time t_{i_0} and $\text{inj}(o_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $\frac{\text{Vol}_{\phi_{i_0}^* g(t_{i_0})} B_{\phi_{i_0}^* g(t_{i_0})}(o_{i_0}, \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} r Q_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} r Q_i^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n}$ uniformly converges to ω_n as $i \to \infty$. Hence we have

$$\frac{\text{Vol}B(o,r)}{r^n} \geq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\frac{1}{1+\epsilon})^n \omega_n - \epsilon.$$

Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we conclude that

$$\frac{\text{Vol}B(o,r)}{r^n} \geq \omega_n.$$

Finally by $\widetilde{Ric}(t) = 0$ and Bishop-Gromov volume comparison, we have metric $\widetilde{g}$ is flat, which contradicts to the fact $|\widetilde{Rm}|(o,0) = 1$. □

References

[1] H.D.Cao. Deformation of Kähler metrics to Käher-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds, Invent. math. 81 (1985) 359-372.

[2] B.L.Chen and X.P. Zhu, Complete Riemannian manifolds with pointwise pinched curvature, Invent. Math. 140 (2000), no. 2, 423-452.

[3] B.Chow, P.Lu, L.Ni. Hamilton's Ricci Flow. Science Press. American Mathematical Society, Beijing.Providence(2006).

[4] J.Cheeger, M.Gromov, M.Taylor. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. J.Diffential.Geom. 17(1982), 15-53

[5] D.Glickenstein. Precompactness of solutions to the Ricci flow in the absence of injectivity radius estimates. Geometry&Topology. 7(2003) 487-510

[6] K.Fukaya. A boundary of the set of the Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvatures and diameters. J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), no. 1, 1-21.

[7] S.Gallot, D.Hulin, J.Lafontaine. Riemmanian geometry. Third edition. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[8] R.Hamilton. A compactness property for solutions of the Ricci flow. Amer.J.Math. 117 (1995) 545-572

[9] R.Hamilton. The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993), Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995. 7-136

[10] R.Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. J. Differential Geom. 2 (1982), 255-306.

[11] N.Sesum. Curvature tensor under the Ricci flow. Amer.J.Math. 127(2005), 1315-1324

[12] N.Sesum and G.Tian. Bounding scalar curvature and diameter along the Kähler Ricci flow (after Perelman) and some applications. Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu. 7:3(2008)

[13] G.Perelman. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. math.DG/0211159, 2002.

[14] G.Perelman. Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds. math.DG/0303109, 2003.

[15] G.Perelman. Finite time extinction for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifold. math.DG/0307245, 2003.

[16] R.Shoen, S.T.Yau. Lectures on differential geometry. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[17] B.Wang. On the conditions to extend Ricci flow. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2008), Article ID RNN012, 30 pp.
[18] C. Böhm and B. Wilking, Manifolds with positive curvature operator are space forms, Ann. of Math. 167(2008), 1079-1097 .
[19] R.Ye. Curvature estimates for the Ricci flow I. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 31(2008), 417-437
[20] R.Ye. Curvature estimates for the Ricci flow II. arXiv:math/0509143v4 [math.DG] 17 Jul 2007

Li Ma, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Peking 100084, P. R. China
E-mail address: lma@math.tsinghua.edu.cn